A Review of Technological Tools in Teaching and Learning Computer Science

Qurat-ul-Ain 1, Farah Shahid 1, Muhammad Aleem 1*, Muhammad Arshad Islam 1, Muhammad Azhar Iqbal 1, Muhammad Murtaza Yousaf 2

1 Department of Computer Science, Capital University of Science and Technology Islamabad 44000, PAKISTAN
2 Punjab University College of Information Technology, University of the Punjab Lahore, PAKISTAN

Received 22 August 2018 • Revised 23 March 2019 • Accepted 2 April 2019

ABSTRACT
The technology works as a catalyst through which many changes occur in the learning approaches, teaching methodologies, research arena, in the work environment and in the use of information and knowledge. Helping students to learn using technological tools rather than using conventional techniques has become more popular in the 21st century in colleges and schools in the domain of computer science. Integration of technological tools will help teachers to the international requirement to use technology-based teaching and learning tools instead of traditional teaching methods. Most researchers agree on the significance of the use of technological tools in different education systems. However, current state-of-the-art depicts that there is no comprehensive study that provides an analysis of different technological tools e.g. computer-based, games based, mobile based, and multimedia technologies used in education. This paper provides a comprehensive review of several of the most popular teaching methodologies (collaborative, active learning, problem-based, inductive teaching method) and technological tools i.e. computer-based (ICT, CAI, CBI), games based (serious games, web games, micro-games, videos games), mobile based (laptop, PDAs, tablets, mobile phones), online learning tools (flipped classroom, web MOOCs) and multimedia technologies (Videos, MCMs, MAMCM). The purpose of this research paper is to scrutinize the roles and effectiveness of technological tools in teaching and learning process and it provides the definition of each method, along with the similarities and differences among these teaching methodologies and technological tools. Even though the strength of each individual method is something that is met with varying degrees of evidence, teaching with the help of technological tools has been found to be generally more effective or at least equally effective to traditional methods of teaching. The purpose of the use of technology in teaching and learning process is to improve productivity, the effectiveness of current practices and bring pedagogical changes which are beneficial for the betterment of education. We explore the theoretical literature available about the influence of technology and its effectiveness in the field of education. In teaching and learning process, the use of technological tools increases interaction between teachers and students. To incorporate technology, educators and teachers must implement the right technological tools to make the environment more innovative for the students.
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INTRODUCTION

We are residing in an ever-changing world where new developments are regularly taking place especially in the areas of Information and Communication Technology (ICT). The thirst to attain more knowledge and to imply it creatively is directly affecting not just the society and culture but also the political arena of the world (Malek, Hall, & Hodges, 2014). Today, there is an increased pressure on education to respond to a technology-driven society and the broad use of technological tools i.e., ICT, mobile technology, and multimedia technology, etc. The role of technology in teaching is very significant because the use of technological tools improves the quality of education. Learning and teaching with the help of technological tools is an organized way of conceptualizing the execution and evaluation of the education system (Richey, Silber, & Ely, 2008).

According to Prensky, (2008) technology can improve teaching and learning process through different ways, for instance: with greater enthusiasm by learners, enriched communication skills, assessable to learners of all levels and capabilities, excellent research tool, good assessment tools, better preparation of students for education. Computer science is a domain that always has grand expectations when it comes to producing positive outcomes and hence it faces immense pressure to adapt and evolve regularly. In the Computer science program, it is a teacher’s responsibility to motivate students to identify different resources, research centers, and databases for infotainment applications. In lesson planning, teachers should use a wide range of audio videos aids and help students in process to determine themselves the skills, knowledge, and sources which are required for the completion of the learning process. A teacher should integrate sources and research skills in proper lessons for students that are based on practical labs, projects, and assignments because it is essential for the students of computer science to clarify the theoretical concepts of computer science through practical implementation. Practical skills can help learners to create the capabilities required for the present globalization. Melhuish, and Falloon, (2010) stated that to keep up with the rapid developments (e.g., development of cloud-based computing supports, M-learning), the citizens of information-age societies are expected to possess certain qualities. critical thinking, problem-solving ability, collaborating with others, communicative ability, ability to make use of different technological tools, the courage to strike novel ideas, and the determination to give rise to diversity in different learning situations. According to Alves, Schmidt, Carthcat, and Hostins, (2015), technology provides a wide spectrum to learn and possesses an enormous power to alter traditional pedagogical environments.

According to Weaver, and Sturtevant, (2015) suggesting innovative educational practices have become obligatory because it forms the foundation that prospers the growth of students belonging to the computer science domain. Various scholars have proposed the idea of transition to a modern educational environment rather than restricting to the traditional setup because this way students would learn to contribute and become active participants hence adding up to building a nurturing environment (Altbach et al., 2009; Vlieghe, 2014). According to Wrzesien, and Raya (2010), ICT not just modifies the social behaviors of students but also teaches them in several ways to interact in a social environment by instilling different values in them. Robin (2008) stated that the research conducted to devise the impact of ICT in educational setups have been proven to bring about a remarkable change in the intellectual growth of students because of its effectiveness. Hussain et al. (2011) expressed that ICT can potentially equip students for the challenges of the 21st century. Students can build up the competencies required to survive in the globalized world with the proper knowledge and understanding of ICT. ICT aids in skill-development, motivation, knowledge enlightenment and it opens doors to a vast variety of knowledge. For example, the Internet can help in the training of students and providing them with the skills that will surely be needed when they go into a professional workplace e.g. email, word processing, etc. (Gulbahar, & Guven, 2008).

The shift in deploying new technological and pedagogical approaches in tertiary education is both exciting and daunting (Arfstrom et al., 2013). Amirault (2015) stated that the most evident thing that has become absolutely palpable now is the usefulness of integration of technology and active learning into the curriculum. Neil Fleming’s stated that VARK (Visual, Aural, Read/Write, Kinesthetic) design have designated that learners learn in a different way (Al-Khazl Fatimah et al. 2017). According to V. Marcy (2017), in a conventional lecture atmosphere, learners only pay attention to a trainer without any connections in the session; therefore, it is easy for them to be tired and
Technology has been a growing force in education, business, and private life of humans. According to Laferrière Hamel and Searson (2013), the technology used in the area of education is a blend of procedures and various tools that work together and portray the growing needs for ICT. According to Kim and Kim (2017), students find the use of technology particularly helpful when it relates directly to their course or when they are learning about abstract concepts. However, integrating technology into classrooms for reaching new goals related to education often challenges teachers with potential difficulties because of the limited policy and pedagogical support (Kumbargoudar, 2013).

According to Chen et al. (2009), incorporating technology and making it part of the educational environment is one of the foremost responsibilities of educators and instructors. Vanderlinde and Van Braak, (2011) articulated three main directions to bring novelty into the education system 1) to make use of the content that can be acclimatized 2) to integrate different teaching skills 3) to get rid of age-old concepts. The modern requirement to question the insights has been stressed by many scholars. The authors emphasized that cognizance from the people who are the users of new technological tools is important for forecasting the efficiency of incorporation in educational setups. Not only this but the educators’ perceptions and insights towards creativity should be observed. Clark et al. (2013) drew the conclusion that incorporating creative technological tools in the educational setups automatically calls for the educators to learn new skills and practices related to it. Karsenti et al. (2013) stated that it has been identified that numerous educators and instructors only use the technological tools to present the material in a digital form and barely focus its intellectually nurturing approach. Karsenti and Fievez, (2013) survey showed that prior to the experimental program 70.2% of teachers had never or very rarely used any kind of technological tool. It also showed that 14.5% had used ICT in the class ‘sometimes’ and 15.2% regularly used technological tools in class. The abovementioned facts bring to light the importance of equipping the teachers with effective methods with which they can add technological tools into their teaching routine. To integrate technological
tools into their teaching practice the TAM (technology acceptance model) and TPACK (technological pedagogical content knowledge) models have been widely used to explore educators’ apparent perceptions and to make it easy for them the use of technology. Many existing studies (Bissell, 2015; Cowan et al. 2013; and Liaw & Huang, 2015) have theorized the integration of mobile technologies in the framework of activity theory. However, there are limitations to the use of the TPACK framework in explaining the dynamic nature of teachers’ knowledge construction and experiences of practice in technology-rich environments (Koehler, et al. 2014, 2017) Olofson et al. (2016) stated that the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) is a concept that designs how students come to agree to use a technology. Generally, TAM concept was developed to evaluate the efficiency or success of technology in assisting to understand the value and efficiency of a particular system.

Technology Integration in Teaching Methodologies

Xin (2012) said that there are two techniques of teaching methodologies; inductive and deductive as shown in Figure 1(a). Most of the times, teaching can contain both of these techniques. From a practical point of view, it can be concluded that teaching and learning are never purely either inductive or deductive. Similar to the scientific method, learning moves in both directions. The student infers theories and rules based on what s(he) observes (induction). Student tests the theories, and experiments to verify the consequences and applications of the theories (deduction). An ideal teaching method must be able to help students learn to do both.

Rahmatianand Zarekar (2016) described an inductive method instructor will deliver the lecture with a wide range of examples for a given idea without providing any preamble about how the idea is used. Malek et al. (2014) stated that the deductive way of teaching is a more teacher-centered strategy. This means that the instructor gives learners a new idea. For instance, when an instructor delivers a new idea to the students s(he) will introduce the basic concepts, describe the guidelines related to its use, and lastly, learners will exercise using the concept in different ways.

TPACK and diffusion models are the three most widely used models for technology integration in education as shown in Figure 1(b). Koh et al. (2015) stated that TAM and TPACK models have been used for various purposes such as 1) to make the technology useless intricate, 2) to examine the perception and understanding of the instructors and teachers, 3) to analyze the educational content and ways of instructing the knowledge. The TAM concept consists of various parts which comprise the process of acceptance of ICT, behavior intention, recognized efficiency, and simplicity of use. Koehler et al. (2017) stated that TPACK defines the connections weaved between the information related to technology, the content that is being taught and the way things are being taught. To develop a deeper connection between ICT and a good educational environment for students, there lies a responsibility for teachers. They are expected to motivate the students and help them deal with the problems that are real.

Roger (2004) asserted that the purpose of the integration of ICT to improve quality studying and educating experience in educational institutions implies a succession of various physical mediums of transmission such as communication channels. According to Roger’s diffusion model, the course of embracing the new developments in technology involves different procedures. The procedure will begin with first state knowledge that symbolizes decision making features of the unit by the ICT users to incorporate the technology. The procedure ends with the
approval of the users to agree to use technology. Integrating and making solid use of technology in educational setups confirms the fulfilling application of creative approaches and develops an understanding among different people belonging to the same community.

Table 1 presents the teaching methodologies (inductive method and deductive method) and technology integration models (TAM, TPACK, and diffusion model (Rogers, 2004)).

### Computer-based Technology

Computer-based training is any prospectus in which learners interact with a personal computer as a key factor of the studying process. Figure 2 shows the different computer-based technological tools. According to Malek et al. (2014), the use of ICT contains various aspects which are associated directly with the primary aim of the research that describes how the use of technological tools will impact the professed efficiency and convenience. The objective of instructors to use technological innovation is highly affected by their views on the efficiency of the system as well as the recognized convenience of use and decides their actual use of ICT. In another study, Gulbahar and Guven (2008) stated that the CBI is an educating strategy that combines software application programs with other educating components in the classroom. DeMaria et al. (2016) stated that CAI programs use academic video sources are a great way to add visual inducements in lessons. CAI programs are not the replacement of lectures; however, can be used as an extension of the lecture. In another study, Sallee Edgar and Johnson (2013) stated that CAI refers to simulation or tutorial, activities practice, and drill, which offered enhancements to customary teacher concentrating instruction. CAI is more efficient when used as a complement to conventional teaching. The influence of implementation of CAI on the learners’ minds proves that it has the ability to assist students by providing them a framework in which they would build and interact and learn.
In Mason et al. (2013) opinion, CBI basically refers to any kind of computer use in educational settings which includes simulations, tutorials, programming, databases, drill, practice, supplementary exercises, writing using word processor, or other applications and these terms may refer to either computer activities which are taught by teachers in classroom through reinforcement or to stand-alone computer learning activities. According to Ghavifekr et al. (2015) Integration of ICT in education and learning pertains to the use of computer-based communication that integrates into everyday classroom educational procedure. ICT has the capability to provide powerful and practical teaching-learning atmosphere. Bakar et al. (2008) mentioned that the incorporation of ICT in education generally means technology-based studying and educating procedure. A comprehensive model purposed by Vanderlinde et al. (2011) highlights that because of the various areas related to incorporating the technology, it is known as an E-capacity model. The model E-capacity refers to the ability of a school to create and optimize conditions at the school and the teacher level, to bring effective ICT change. Vanderlinde et al. (2010), the definition of E-capacity provided in the school improvement literature, has been tailored and translated for the case of ICT integration. The E-capacity of a school, defined as the communal capability of a school to contrivance ICT in a way that is a lever for change in teaching and learning. From this perception, e-capacity is about creating and optimizing maintainable teacher and school level conditions to foster effective amendments with the help of ICT. Here, ICT serves as a pedal to bring a pedagogical reform and is usually affected by the educator who has to employ that in the educational set up and has the position to employ it in for several areas such as for the sake of learning or for the sake of providing knowledge about something. Certain conditions are applied to the educators who are related to ICT, for example, the educator’s ability to put up with the technological tools and professional growth. Moreover, numerous resources are required for it, for example, assistance and a framework where all those tools could be employed.

Lavelle et al. (2015) research outline the contemporary uses of technological tools in teaching and learning practices. Authors stated that the convenience and accession to the World Wide Web and other areas pertaining to interaction are a few aspects that represent and portray the change that has happened over the years in the field of learning.

**Multimedia Technology as an Educational Resource**

According to Davies et al. (2013), multimedia components are preferred by many teachers at present. The multimedia animations consist of images, words, sounds, pictures, and moving images. Figure 3 shows the commonly used multimedia tools. According to Lundberg (2008), Multimedia animations can improve a learner’s capability to remember information. With images, sounds and actions, animations can interpret complicated abstract ideas for students. Chiou et al. (2013) have shown the MCM (Multidimensional Concept Maps) and MAMCM (Multimedia and a Multidimensional Concept Map) as an educating tool that can help students to comprehend complicated ideas, recognize misapprehensions, and positively influences student’s contentment, motivation, and studying accomplishment. According to knowledge processing concept, MCM has one shortcoming that they only use the visual system. However, MAMCM consist of both the visual system and the auditory system (Chiou et al., 2013). According to Brom (2011), MAMCM increases the students’ learning accomplishments and learning retention than MCM and MAMCMs get better students’ learning fulfillment than MCM. Clark et al. (2013) suggested that several trails can now be treaded for the sake of learning such as learning through multimedia by playing games related to course areas, tools used for transcription and other ways through which it reinforces the motivation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>ICT</th>
<th>CBI</th>
<th>CAI</th>
<th>Web</th>
<th>Practical Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ghavifekr, and Rosdy (2015)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakar, and Mohamed (2008)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanderlinde, and Van Braak (2010)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanderlinde, and Van Braak (2011)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sallee, Edgar, and Johnson (2013)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malek, Hall, and Hodges (2014)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, DeMaria Goldberg, and Katz (2016)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason, Shuman, and Cook (2013)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavelle, Stimpson, and Brill (2015)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Use of Computer-based technologies in education**
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Knutas et al. (2016) stated that the importance and need for technology in education are absolutely evident. Moreover, the authors mentioned that the use of animation tools have improved understanding. MacNeil et al. (2016) asserted that it is palpable that the application of technological tools has influenced and impacted the motivation level of students. Maher (2015) have explored the different animation tools that have been created in recent decades to focus on learning computer science and to clarify the theoretical concepts and make them more understandable. Koehler et al. (2017) stated that most learners look at the use of video lectures in a positive way but quite a few learners do not watch all the available video contents. In addition, it is determined that the circumstances for creating video lessons on a bigger range must be properly examined.

Mobile Technology as an Educational Resource

In recent years, mobile technology has rapidly grown. Figure 4 shows the usage of mobile devices as an educational resource. Karsenti et al. (2013) stated that mobile technology provides easy usage, helps students to learn independently, motivates students to interact and make social connections, and helps them in quench their inquisitive thirst. According to Lovrencic et al. (2016), mobile-based technological advancement has led to most people to carry their own individual small computer systems that contain notable processing capability such as notebooks, PDAs (Personal Digital Assistance) personal computers, tablets, mobile phones, and e-book readers.

According to Monttrieux et al. (2015), mobile technologies have excellent prospects for assisting advanced academic techniques. Mostly learners used their laptops mobile phones, PDAs to write, make demonstrations, browse the Internet, do preparation, or take tests. ChanLin et al. (2017) have assessed the overall efficiency of developing mobile technological innovation in education on learner’s learning accomplishments. Cowan et al. (2013) elaborated that the growing usage of mobile technology has formed “pockets of educational potential” as learning through mobile technology can help shatter the barricades because of its reachability (i.e., anytime and anywhere). Sung et al. (2016) stated that mobile technology has the potential to keep the user directed towards

Table 3. Use of Multimedia-based technologies in education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Multimedia-Based Technology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brom, Preuss, and Klement (2011)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davies, and Cormican (2013)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knutas, Herala, Vanhala, and Ikonen (2016, June)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacNeil, Latulipe, Long, and Yadav (2016)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiou, Tien, and Lee (2015)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark, and Luckin (2013)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koehler, Greenhalgh, Rosenberg, and Keenan (2017)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
active learning even if there are no educational resources present. It has been proved that mobile learning devices are highly influential especially for students who are lacking the school performance.

### Online Learning Tools Used in Education

Teaching online courses, related to computer science can leave a significant impact on students. According to Musca et al. (2016), the various learning styles adopted in educational systems do not actually impact the way students communicate with their peers, teachers, or media but they do affect students’ performance related to class participation and group activities. Online learning methods are diverse and hence can be used in various domains but at the same time, they come as a convenient tool that can be used pedagogically. Figure 5 shows the two most widely used online learning tools i.e., MOOCs and flipped classroom. Schreiber et al. (2017) have explained the Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) is a web-based class designed to support a large number of members. A key advantage of MOOCs over traditional classroom-based studying is their accessibility.

Jonsson et al. (2015) describe that the fundamental principle of flipped classroom teaching is to provide the contents outside of the class. According to Herala, et al. (2015), inverted or a flipped classroom educating technique has two main components: provide educational material outside of the classroom (typically online) and shift effective studying into the classroom. According to Dobrzanski et al. (2008) these two elements start up four educational style challenges: 1) how to framework the student’s basic work; 2) how to provide educational material out-of-class; 3) how to develop effective studying activities to use in-class to scaffolding studying, identify misunderstandings and allow students to practice critical skills; and finally, 4) how to framework student interaction to best make use of social studying and peer instruction.

#### Table 4. Use of mobile technology in education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Laptops</th>
<th>PDA</th>
<th>Tablets</th>
<th>Mobile Phones</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lovrenčić, and Cubrilo (2016)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montieux, Vanderlinde, Schelliens, and De Mare (2015)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChanLin (2017)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuler (2009)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bissell (2015)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan, and Butler (2013)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang, Jeng, and Huang (2009)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karsenti, and Fievez (2013)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maher (2015)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim, Hagashi, Carillo, Gonzales, Makany, Lee, and Garate (2011)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sung, Chang, and Liu (2016)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim, and Kim (2017)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ifenthaler, and Schweinbenz (2013)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 5. Online learning approaches to teaching and learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>MOOC Tools</th>
<th>Flipped Classroom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jonsson (2015)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heral, Vanhala, and Nikula (2015)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schreiber, and Dougherty (2017)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiou, Tien, and Lee (2015)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musca, Mihalache, and Musca (2016)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delialioglu, and Yildirim (2007)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dobrzański, and Brom (2008)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Different games play a crucial role in the learning growth of students by allowing them to play and experiment with these. Lately, there has been an increase in several types of games including video games, web games, etc. Jonsson et al. (2015) argued that games can help students in learning new concepts related to chemistry, geometry, programming, etc. Figure 6 shows different games-based tools such as serious games, video games, microgames, and web games used for learning and teaching purpose. According to Schreiber et al. (2017), information learned in the expository formal training can be used as content for self-learning associated with text content on different algorithms. The game should not be used as an unaccompanied activity. The games’ purpose is to strengthen and incorporate part of the information learned in the expository session. Lavelle et al. (2015) have revealed that members who played the digital game prepared with animated, cartoon-style, interactive, and entertaining features obtained imperative higher than the lower-complexity digital game team as well as the customary paper-and-pencil team on the training outcome assessments. The members who performed digital game showed better learning outcomes. Watson et al. (2011) mentioned that the appropriate game’s activities can enhance a student’s sense of self-confidence and even enhance socializing abilities, management abilities, and team development. The past few years have seen the development of serious games (SGs) that are surging the academic games industry. According to Wang et al. (2016), the vibrant characters of games and background designs and the collaborative effects may have the ability to mend students’ short-term memorization of the information retention capability. According to Alves et al. (2015), video games are used in skill development and concept studying, in-class lab activities supporting open-ended tasks and enhance technical/professional studying. Brom et al. (2011) have evaluated the potency of various serious games and video games on students.

According to Chen et al. (2017), the use of serious games in education is a developing area that requires ongoing evaluation and the organization of the best methodologies. Serious gaming is a developing training system for education that provides a range of learning goals and expertise via numerous game styles. Fonseca et al. (2014) stated that the evaluation of serious games as training tools is essential. Sung et al. (2016) stated that it is necessary to perform experimental research evaluating the influence on studying of non-coaching; pencil-and-paper training, serious games, and video games-based training. To evaluate the long-term impact of teaching using SGs it is necessary to perform longitudinal research. Sung et al. (2016) have suggested that we should avoid becoming overzealous about the serious games that are currently surging the market until their efficiency in studying has been evaluated.
been demonstrated scientifically. Nevertheless, this evaluation also needs to emphasize the advantageous factors for the creation of serious games, which can be proved to be the academic tool of the future.

**COLLABORATIVE LEARNING STRATEGY**

Liaw and Huang et al. (2009) defined collaborative learning as students and teacher working and learning together in the form of various group activities. This concept takes its basis from the fact that learning is more effective in environments that are socially conducive. Figure 7 shows collaborative learning techniques. Nørmark (2016) stated that these kinds of activities usually revolve around the following four fundamentals: the student should be at the center where every required material is accessible to him, there should be an emphasis on communication, group work should be prioritized, and problem-solving ability should be developed.

Roehl Reddy and Shannon (2013) have mentioned the three key parts of collaborative learning:

1. Learners work in groups toward the achievement of some extremely ordinate objectives.
2. Work is separated from associates, such that each person takes liability for a different sub-goal.
3. Individual efforts are combined into an amalgamated product to ensure that the objective is achieved.

Lesco et al. (2017) described that the teachers should occupy themselves in group learning by joining different communities online, where they would get to share and exchange innovative ideas. Technology can contribute a great deal to the educational sphere by using digital tools and can enable the students and teachers to engage in work more effectively. Many universities are adopting this approach by integrating digital features and hence promoting active learning. According to Boud, Cohen, and Sampson (2014) in team teaching-learning or peer learning, students can get an opportunity to receive the feedback from the other fellow students in the group regarding their knowledge and understanding. Therefore, learners can learn from each other and create an environment where they can share knowledge. Discussing different experiences improves a group’s creative skills and problem-solving ability. Furthermore, seeing that others’ opinions can enhance their thinking and can motivate learners to listen and accept other alternative opinions as well. Vogel et al. (2006) stated that active learning is an educational method that involves learners in the training process. Bishop et al. (2013) have mentioned the following features of problem-based studying: Student-Centered learning, learning in small groups where instructors are the facilitator and new information is obtained through self-directed studying. According to Bishop et al. (2013), the objective of problem-based studying includes helping students develop versatile information, efficient problem-solving abilities, self-directed studying abilities, efficient cooperation abilities, and implicit motivation.

**ALGORITHMS BASED LEARNING**

According to Sorva et al. (2013), many techniques produce computer animation straight from the code, such as JAVAVIS. The use of computer animation improves the educational requirements in the information technology profession. More significantly, to take advantage of using computer animation for imagining methods is to fix the following issues: First, in order to entice learners to use any computer animation program, the designer should
reduce the cost of using the programs or ensure it as a free tool. Second, learners should have the capability to stop computer animation if they get puzzled. This mechanism assists them to review the learning parts and to better understand these.

The algorithm-based techniques are shown in Figure 8. Fatimah et al. (2017) have developed alternative modules for educating different scheduling algorithms, which are Round-Robin (RR), Shortest-Job-First (SJF), First-Come-First-Serve (FCFS), and Priority based mechanisms. The algorithm-based techniques mostly contain two modules: KLAs and web games. KLAs are the most common methods employed to have an active and collaborative learning mechanism. The web activities enable users to connect to the performance of methods via dragging and dropping activities in the playing method or following the computer-based animation to see the simulated algorithm. In addition to web games, KLAs component has numerous advantages; students learn through physical activity and communications during the lecture instead of staying for quite a long time and only paying attention to the teacher’s lecture.

The Black Box Method (BBM) in electrical technological innovation was first used in 1956 by Ashby. According to Brom et al. (2011), the student is given an enclosed box that has a terminal for input to which it will provide any current, bumps, or other disruptions; and a terminal for the result or output so that he may observe the outcome. The BBM mechanism is a substantial program (e.g., phenomenon, object, procedure), framework and factor behavior, about which the viewer has no details, but has the choice of affecting the whole program via its details and notice its responses via the results. The viewer impacts the black box via its feedback and gets detail from the outcome. Similarly, the viewer and the BBM make a program with the feedback option.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study is to scrutinize the technological tools and teaching approaches to analyze the overall efficiency of these technological tools employed for teaching computer science. In this survey, we review the effectiveness of including technological tools for teaching computer science such as, simulations and audio-visual demonstrations of content (videos and video clips), multimedia, computer-based instructions, kinesthetic learning algorithms, video games, problem-based learning, web games, Web MOOCs tools in comparison to a traditional lecture-based method.

Implementing the use of technological tools in teaching increases student motivation, social interactions, positive outcomes, and it enhances students learning capability and engagement. Technology is a source to unlock the key to learning. According to Keser, Huseyin, & Ozdamli, (2011) through the use of technological tools students are able to keep in touch with information for instance, in classroom groups, online, and in virtual environments. Students collaboration have also been increased with the use of these technological tools as students work together and cooperate with each other to create projects, make assignments, do the lab practical, etc. Baytak, Tarman, and Ayas (2011) in research found that most students believe that integrating technology into the curriculum plays an important role in the improvement of their learning capabilities. Integration of technology in education is also helpful for students with special learning. Weaver, et al. (2015) stated that the integration of technology in education has increased the motivation level of students and the engagement in the learning process. The collaboration has been increased among students. According to Prensky (2008) generally, television, computer, and internet are included in ICT and when they are used appropriately can boost, strengthen, and raise the standard of education. In teaching computer science, the contribution of ICT is also very important as it provides new tools which include

---

**Table 8. Algorithm-based techniques for teaching**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>BBM</th>
<th>Music Algorithm Based Approach</th>
<th>Kinesthetic Learning Algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatimah, Norah, and Young (2017)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brom, Preuss, and Klement (2011)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kikot, Costa, Magalhães, and Fernandes (2013)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
worksheets, databases, statistical, and graphical programs. By employing ICT in educational systems, active learning can be perpetuated and ICT can promote creativity and imagination in students. Our study explores that the usage of ICT can greatly promote creativity and imagination-based learning in students. Ultimately, the foremost step that should be observed in the application of ICT is that it should be influential and operative in a way that teachers and students would get the best from it. It has been proved that the students who were taught by the implementation of technological tools were more likely to be cognizant and had the ability to critically and cognitively analyze the problems when compared with the students who were taught in a traditional manner.

Another technological approach the flipped classroom has gotten to everybody’s hearing by now. In this approach, the students can learn through by watching the video lectures as their homework and then they can discuss it with the teacher in the classroom. It has led to an extremely better performance of students, with a significant increase in grades. By using this method students learn things in a better way and it also reduces the learning effort and time (Arfstrom et al., 2013)

There is a significant impetus towards presenting online courses for learning such as MOOCs i.e., massive open online courses and flipped classrooms but their efficiency pertinent to cost is not much known yet. Taking online computer science courses might be a bit challenging not just for the students but also for the teachers. Research has shown that a teacher should investigate the learning styles of his/her students prior to providing them with an online course because learning styles affect students’ understanding. Interactive learning and communication are the factors that help in making up the environment of an online course. Moreover, online computer science courses must provide solid interactive and communicative prospects to the students so that they would be able to tackle complex problems and deal with peers and teachers in an efficient way. In order to maintain the interactive and collaborative atmosphere of the course, instructors are required to present all the necessary information. Instructors and the creators of the course need to be cognizant of the educational requirements of the students if they want to produce happy and learned students.

The evaluation of the games as gears used for training is extremely necessary but considering its diversity, is still very much in need of improvement. A sheer lack of experiential research can be observed when it comes to inspecting the impact of serious games and video games. It is essential to hold a great many empirical studies before making a claim that games produce an effective result.

Mobile technology has become an important and undeniable influence over the students because of the ideas of motivation and achievement that it gives to the students. Through the use of mobile technology, the classroom can be taken anywhere. With all the knowledge and sources included and deliverable when needed in a cellular phone, learners can learn at home. Neo et al. (2009) concluded that mobile technology also promotes better collaboration and a strong foundation in group work between students. The mobile phone saves time and provides information anytime or anywhere without any hurdle.

Multimedia has to get over the limitations of space and time and can be used as a device for educating students. Multimedia provides a technological advancement-based constructivist studying atmosphere where the learners are able to fix a problem by means of active participation, cooperation, and self-exploration. Animated graphics, simulations audio and video incorporated in an organized manner accomplish the process of learning new knowledge much more efficiently. According to Malik, and Agarwal (2012) the nature of multimedia is interactive which provides the room to improve the traditional “chalk-and-talk” way of teaching and learning. It assists both the students and teachers to work together. Moreover, it improves and motivates group study as well as personal innovation and creativeness.

This research detected a positive impact on technology use in computer science education. However, investigation of efficiency by these parameters indicated that different parameters are valuable under some particular circumstances. We don’t anticipate technology commutation impassioned instructors teaching their learners. We just see it as an important device to help the methodology of education and get ready the learners for the future. From the research we have check out, instructors got to use a lot of technological tools inside the space. This research shows that the use of technology, video games, computer-aided instructions, and mobile devices are more effective in teaching computer science. Consequently, these methodologies are more effective when used as a supplement to traditional instruction rather than as a substitute. Therefore, instead of substituting the entire class time with these techniques, the use of such technology in conjunction with other educating strategies could be greater for the students. The idea of mixing a custom-blend of these techniques into the everyday classic teaching method is one that is practical and comfortable. It is not overwhelming to teachers as it does not demand them to fully change the ways of teaching that they have followed and believed in over the years.

The goal of this study was to highlight that the use of technological tools in academics comes with a risk for the institution, although these tools have a lot of potentials however they are not without challenges. Technological tools and traditional tools can both foster motivation and will to learn in students. What makes a difference is the ways in which these methods are utilized by students and teachers alike. The technological tools have an
important role in the academic environment only if they nurture the mission of education which is to socialize, provide instruction and education.

CONCLUSIONS

It can be concluded that educational technology is the field of education that is still in its evolutionary stages. Nevertheless, technology is in a much rapid evolutionary stage relative to education. It would be intriguing to behold the way things are going to pick up in the upcoming years when the consequences of global proliferation and digital and networking technology would develop. Furthermore, the restrictions of technological tools faced by educators and instructors would be worth studying. Empirical research is also essential to get a further look into the effects of technology.

We explored the literature available about the influence of technological tools and their consequences in the field of education. The paper has been concluded after reviewing the necessity and influence of employing technological tools in pedagogical contexts. To incorporate technology, educators and teachers must implement the right technological tools to make the environment more innovative for the students.
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