Association between Brain Hemisphericity, Learning Styles and Confidence in Using Graphics Calculator for Mathematics

Rosihan M. Ali Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, MALAYSIA Liew Kee Kor MARA University of Technology, Kedah, MALAYSIA

Received 12 Agust 2006; accepted 27 December 2006

This paper presents the preliminary results of a study conducted to investigate the differences in brain hemisphericity and learning styles on students' confidence in using the graphics calculator (GC) to learn mathematics. Data were collected from a sample of 44 undergraduate mathematics students in Malaysia using Brain-Dominance Questionnaire, Index of Learning Style Inventory, and Confidence in Using GC to Learn Mathematics Questionnaire. Statistical analyses revealed that the sample differ significantly in their hemispheric preference and learning styles. In addition, sequential-global and sensing-intuitive learning styles were found to associate significantly with brain hemisphericity. However, there was no significant association between brain hemisphericity with gender, race, and program of study. Finally, the study also revealed that GC confidence ratings are not significantly different across brain hemisphericity as well as learning styles.

Keywords: Brain Hemisphericity, Graphics Calculator, Instructional Tool, Learning Styles

INTRODUCTION

Hand-held technology such as the graphics calculator (GC) is increasingly used in many mathematics schools and colleges worldwide. Integrating GC in the curriculum has a huge potential and can make mathematics learning more enjoyable and more accessible. While it has been claimed that GC empowers students to visualize mathematics (Arcavi & Hadas, 2000; Cunningham, 1991), there is still a need to better understand the issues involved in terms of how the GC shapes the students' learning of mathematics and the interplay between the tool and the subject.

Correspondence to: Rosihan M. Ali School of Mathematical Sciences Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM Penang, MALAYSIA E-mail: rosihan@cs.usm.my

In the teaching and learning process, cognitive neuroscientists noted that right-brain dominant people prefer visual, spatial and analogical processing while leftbrain dominant people prefer verbal, logical, linear and sequential processing. Realizing the importance of the connection between brain and mathematical thinking, researchers are attempting to link learning styles with hemispheric dominance (Seng & Yeo, 2000). Sadler-Smith and Badger (1998) maintained that cognitive style is a fundamental determinant of an individual's behaviour in organizational processes and routines. They stressed that cognitive style can explain why people with the same abilities, knowledge, and skills performed differently in the organization. In order to explain the differences in performance between students in the same classroom when incorporating a new instructional tool, it is pertinent to explore the connection between brain hemisphericity, learning styles as well as technical confidence with the tool.

Copyright © 2007 by Moment ISSN: 1305-8223



Transa of los most	L sa uning atriba	Duning
Types of learner	Leanning styles	bomiophoriaity
		nemisphericity
Active	Retains and understands information best by discussing in group,	
	applying it or explaining it to others.	
Reflective	Prefers to think about and work out something alone.	
Sensing	Likes to learn facts, solve problems by well-established methods.	Left-brain
	Good at memorizing facts and doing hands-on (laboratory) work.	
	Dislikes complications as well as surprises. Resents being tested on	
	material that has not been explicitly covered in class. Doesn't like	
	courses that have no apparent connection to the real world.	
Intuitive	Prefers discovering possibilities and relationships. Likes innovation	Right-brain
	and dislikes repetition. Good at grasping new concepts and is more	
	comfortable with abstractions and mathematical formulations.	
	Doesn't like courses that involve a lot of memorization and routine	
	calculations.	
Visual	Remembers best what is seen in pictures, diagrams, flow charts,	Right-brain
	time lines, films, and demonstrations.	0
Verbal	Get more out of words from written and spoken explanations.	Left-brain
Sequential	Tends to gain understanding in linear steps, with each step	Left-brain
*	following logically from the previous one in a logical stepwise paths	
	in finding solutions.	
Global	Can solve complex problems quickly or put things together in novel	Right-brain
	ways once he/she has grasped the big picture without seeing	Ũ
	connections. May have difficulty explaining how he/she did it.	

Table 1. Characteristics of the learners, learning styles and brain hemisphericity.*

^{*}Table 1 is an abridgment between Felder and Solomon's Learning Styles (2001) and McCarthy's (1987) 4MAT System

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

This study is conducted to examine the differences in brain hemispheric processing modes and learning styles among 44 undergraduates who undertake the specialized mathematics course using the GC. The course was developed by the School of Mathematical Sciences at the Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM). In this program, the students were acquainted with the capabilities of the GC as an instructional tool. Specifically, the study aims to investigate the relationship of brain hemisphericity and learning styles on the students' confidence in using the GC to learn mathematics.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Cognitive neuroscientists generally held that brain hemisphericity or brain dominance is the tendency of an individual to process information through the left hemisphere or the right hemisphere or in combination. It was pointed out further that left hemispheric dominant learners are analytical, verbal, linear and logical, whereas those right-hemispheric dominants are highly global, visual, relational, and intuitive. Closely related to brain hemisphericity is the learning style or the preferred way in which individuals learn. McCarthy (1987) purported four types of learners (innovative, analytic, common sense and dynamic) in association with two different brain modes (left or right). Similarly, Felder and Solomon (2001) classified learners into four different domains according to their learning styles. The four domains consist of the active-reflective learners, the sensing-intuitive learners, the visual-verbal learners and the sequential-global learners. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of the learners, their learning styles and brain hemisphericity in accordance to Felder and Solomon (2001) as well as McCarthy's (1987) proposition.

DESIGN OF THE STUDY

The special topic course in GC at USM is developed for final year pre-service teachers and students in mathematics. The course has attracted an overwhelming response from students since its inception in 2001. Amongst the course objectives are to acquaint students with computer algebra system (CAS) calculators and its capabilities, to understand the relevance of calculator technology in the teaching and learning of mathematics and sciences, and to familiarize students with the issues involved in the use of calculator technology in the classroom.

	Std.			Sig
Ν	Mean	Deviation	t	(2-tail)
30	.8087	.43442	204	.839
10	.8391	.30884		
18	.7874	.35413	529	.600
24	.8533	.42942		
6	1.0145	.26327	1.277	.209
36	.7935	.40778		
1	1.0000	•	.443	.660
41	.8208	.39957		
11	.8024	.41719	219	.828
31	.8331	.39453		
	N 30 10 18 24 6 36 1 41 11 31	N Mean 30 .8087 10 .8391 18 .7874 24 .8533 6 1.0145 36 .7935 1 1.0000 41 .8208 11 .8024 31 .8331	N Mean Deviation 30 .8087 .43442 10 .8391 .30884 18 .7874 .35413 24 .8533 .42942 6 1.0145 .26327 36 .7935 .40778 1 1.0000 . 41 .8208 .39957 11 .8024 .41719 31 .8331 .39453	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } Std. \\ \hline N & Mean & Deviation & t \\ \hline 30 & .8087 & .43442 &204 \\ \hline 10 & .8391 & .30884 \\ \hline 18 & .7874 & .35413 &529 \\ \hline 24 & .8533 & .42942 \\ \hline 6 & 1.0145 & .26327 & 1.277 \\ \hline 36 & .7935 & .40778 \\ \hline 1 & 1.0000 & . & .443 \\ \hline 41 & .8208 & .39957 \\ \hline 11 & .8024 & .41719 &219 \\ \hline 31 & .8331 & .39453 \\ \end{array}$

Table 2. Mean of GC confidence and T-tests for all domains

The course content includes topics from calculus, linear algebra, differential equations, and statistics. Students were not required to purchase GCs; each student had a calculator checked out for the duration of the course. Alternating interactive lectures and in-class exploration activities are the primary teaching modes of the course. This is complemented with laboratory assignments. The course culminates with a group project designed to foster students' knowledge and critical understanding of principles in mathematics and statistics.

For the cohort of 2005/2006, data were collected using 15-item Brain-Dominance Questionnaire (Mariani, 1996), 44-item Index of Learning Style Inventory (Felder & Solomon, 2001) and 23-item Confidence in Using GC to Learn Mathematics Questionnaire (Ali & Kor, 2004). Brain-Dominance Questionnaire and Index of Learning Style Inventory were administered to the respondents at the commencement of the course. The GC Confidence questionnaire was administered at the end of the course after students had mastered most of the GC skills. All items are 5-point Likert scale, and each item receives a score in the range of -2 to +2. Thus a positive mean score indicates a favourable response. To carry out the analyses, Chi-square tests on goodness-of-fit and tests of independence as well as Ttests of means were conducted using SPSS.

RESULTS OF THE STUDY

From a total of 44 questionnaires administered, two were incomplete and were subsequently discarded. Analysis revealed that 71% of the sample were left-brain dominant (n=30) whereas 24% (n=10) were right-brain dominant and the remainders (n=2) were whole-brain learners. As the whole-brain learners were very small in number, statistical tests were not conducted on this group.

Results showed that the sample differs significantly in hemispheric dominance and learning styles at 1%

level of significance. In particular, almost 66% of the sample belongs to slight to moderate left-brain category. Similarly, data showed that most of the samples belong to mild active, moderate sensing, strong visual, and mild sequential learning styles (Fig.1).

On the other hand, mean GC confidence ratings were computed across different brain dominance and types of learners. In Table 2, results showed that learners who are "reflective" and "sensing" scored the lowest in confidence in using GC to learn mathematics. Also, T-tests conducted on the pairs in each domain showed no significant differences in the means of GC for all domains at 5% level of significance. The results indicated that there were no significant differences in GC confidence across brain hemisphericity as well as learning styles.

Looking at Tables 3 and 4, further analysis found that brain dominance associates significantly with the sensing-intuitive (p-value=0.015), as well as sequential-global learning styles (p-value=0.013).

The results are in accordance with McCarthy's (1987) proposition that left-brain learners are "sensing" and "sequential" while right-brain learners are "intuitive" and "global". However, there was no statistical significant association between brain hemisphericity with active-reflective as well as visual-verbal learners. Furthermore, no statistical significant association between brain hemisphericity with gender, race, and program of study was reported.

CONCLUSIONS

The genesis of our research into brain dominance and learning styles was the result of our inquisition about whether the competency skill in mastering the GC is brain dominated. In response to Steen's (1999) query regarding the neural mechanism of mathematical thought, we seek to understand the biology of the brain which could scientifically improve an individual's mathematical performance.

Table 3. Chi-Square tests on brain dominance				
and sensing-intuitive learning styles.				

		Intuitive	Total	
		Intuitive	Sensing	
Type of Brain Dominance	left brain	2 (4.4)	29 (26.6)	31
	right brain	4 (1.6)	7 (9.4)	11
Total		6	36	42

Numbers in parenthesis is the expected count.

To date, no related research has yet been conducted to integrate both brain hemisphericity and learning styles in a mathematics classroom that incorporate the use of instructional tool such as the GC. Although the preliminary results of this study showed that there was no statistically significant difference in the GC

Table 4. Chi-Square tests on brain dominance and sequential-global learning styles

		Sequential-global		Total
		Global	Sequential	
Type of Brain Dominance	left brain	5 (8.1)	26 (22.9)	31
	right brain	6 (2.9)	5 (8.1)	11
Total		11	31	42

confidence scores and the brain hemisphericity as well

as learning styles, there were evidences of association

between learning styles and brain dominance. It was

found that most respondents were left brain dominated.

In addition, results revealed that left brain individuals

Numbers in parenthesis is the expected count.

tend to be sensing and sequential learners.

Brain Dominance Reflective-Active Category ■ Mild 25 20 ■ Slight □Moderate Moderate 20 15 Strong Strong 15 10 10 5 5 0 0 Left-brain Right-brain Reflective Active Intuitive-Sensing Category Visual-Verbal Category 20 20 ■ Mild ■Mild 15 Moderate 15 Moderate Strong Strong 10 10 5 5 Û 0 Verbal Visual Intuitive Sensing **Global-Sequential Category** ■ Mild 20 □Moderate 15 Strong 10 5 0 Global Sequential



Lastly, we believe that the significance of our study could help enlarge the dimensions of research that examine the area of incorporating new technological tool in the teaching and learning of mathematics.

REFERENCES

- Ali, R.M., & Kor, L.K. (2004). Undergraduate mathematics enhanced with graphing technology. *Journal of the Korea Society of Mathematical Education*, 8(1), 39-58.
- Arcavi, A., & Hadas, N. (2000). Computer mediated learning: an example of an approach. *International Journal of Computers for Mathematics Learning*, 5, 25-45.
- Cunningham, S. (1991). The visualization environment for mathematics education. In W., Zimmerman & S., Cunningham (Eds.), *Visualization in teaching and learning mathematics*, (pp. 67-76).
- Felder, R. M., & Soloman, B. A. (2001). Index of learning styles questionnaire. North Carolina State University. Retrieved January 20, 2006, from <u>http://www2.ncsu.edu/unity/ lockers/users/f/felder/public/ILSdir/ILS-a.htm</u>
- Mariani, L. (1996). Brain-Dominance Questionnaire. (Rev. ed.). Retrieved January 20, 2006, from http://www.scs.sk.ca/cyber/present/brain.htm
- McCarthy, B. (1987). The 4Mat system: Teaching to learning styles with right/left mode techniques. Barrington IL: EXCEL.
- Sadler-Smith, E., & Badger, B. (1998). Cognitive style, learning and innovation. *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*, 10, 247-265.
- Seng, S. H., & Yeo, A. (2000). Spatial visualization ability and learning style preference of low achieving students. (ED446055). Retrieved January 5, 2006, from <u>http://www.eric.ed.gov/ERICDocs/data/ericdocs2/con</u> tent_storage_01/000000b/80/23/29/a3.pdf
- Steen, L. A. (1999). Developing mathematical reasoning in grades K-12. In Lee, S. (Ed.), National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, NCTM's 1999 Yearbook. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 270-285. Retrieved April 9, 2006, from <u>http://www.stolaf.edu/people/steen/Papers/reasoning. html</u>

~~