Enhancing the Achievement in Physics' Motion Concept through Online Multiple Intelligence Learning Approach
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Abstract
The complexity of physics concepts in online learning environment probably will only benefit certain group of students. Thus, there are suggestions that the approach of delivering physics concepts in online learning environment should be conducted in various ways. The purpose of research was to investigate the effect of online multiple intelligence-based learning on achievement in physics' motion concept among 10th grade students. The one group pre-test and post-test design were used in this research with purposive sampling, with 30 students in a science junior high school in Malaysia. In the research, the data were obtained from Multiple Intelligence Test and Physics Force and Motion Concept Test, which were analyzed using one-way ANOVA and non-parametric test Wilcoxon and Friedman. The findings reveal that online multiple intelligence-based learning had an effect on the achievement in physics' force and motion concepts with mathematical logic and Intrapersonal intelligence group performed significantly better than others.
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INTRODUCTION

Learning and teaching abstract and complex physics topic such as the force and motion require a variety use of technology in learning especially in using online learning tools and applications. Force and motion topics emphasize hands-on activity through conducting experiments in line with the syllabus studied (Wang, 2009). However, there are many challenges encountered by teachers especially in terms of the availability and limited number of laboratory equipment and tools (Pullit Lai, 1999). Therefore, online learning applications such as digital recorders, digital cathode ray oscilloscope or virtual applications like GraphPlotter could be utilised to overcome the challenges. Moreover, the online learning allows students to develop their own experimental experiences as well as enhancing their digital literacy skills. Furthermore, these experiences increase student’s confidence at the same time enhancing their self-directed willingness to continue to explore new knowledge and learn on their own pace and capacity.

In physics classes, students often learn through discussions with teachers and classmates. It is reported that the discussion in conventional classrooms is still lacking among students as teachers being the main contributors to provide information to students (Chin & Kayalvizhi, 2005; Shy-Jong, 2007). For some topic such as vectors in linear motion involves problems solving which requires students to draw free-body-diagram on the concept of force. In order to do this, students completely depend on teachers to guide them and constantly ask questions. Unfortunately, teacher unable to spend time to answer the same student every time. On the contrary, by using online learning with video application such as YouTube, this allows students to download instructional videos and view the teacher’s explanations repeatedly. In addition, the use of online communication applications such as WhatsApp allows students to ask their teacher or fellow friends privately. Moreover, another online learning like flipped classroom also provides the technology for students to collaborate, discuss, participate in students’ group projects (Chien, Chen, & Liao, 2019). It involves elements of communication and collaboration that help students...
learn better in a cooperative environment and this can enhance students’ understanding of the subject of physics itself (Ho & Bo, 2007).

The uses of variety of learning methods and online technologies requires multiple intelligence in order for students to succeed in their learning. In 1983, Gardner introduced Multiple Intelligence which proposed that each individual possesses eight intelligences namely: logic-mathematic, visual-spatial, kinesthetic, verbal-linguistic, musical, interpersonal, intrapersonal and naturalistic. Therefore, student tend to learn in their own way using their dominant intelligence.

Learning science especially physics subject in the classroom is considered difficult because it has many abstracts and scientific terminologies (Erinosho, 2013). Students do not understand the abstract ideas in physics because it is beyond their own experiences that are not related to real-world experiences. These makes difficult for the students to grasp the physics concepts and apply it in solving problems in the real life (Mantyla & Koponen, 2007). Force and motion are topics that are often considered difficult and are often associated with the challenges in understanding abstract concepts of Newton’s Law (Goff, 2004) such as the concept of force (Neset, 2005), the fundamentals of linear motion (Nik Daud, Abd Karim, Wan Hassan, & Rahman, 2015), impulses and momentum (Lawson & McDermnt, 1987) and the uses and application of graph (Hale, 2000; Leela, 2016). Both topics are included in science syllabus in Malaysia and these topics are the focus in this study.

Students also often find that learning physics in the classroom is very tedious and requires good memorization of formulas and good mathematical mastery in solving exam questions (Halpern, 2002). For an example, in the topic of linear motion and linear motion graph, memorization of the linear motion formula and finding math solutions using those formulas are required for students to solve the problem. This makes it difficult for those students who cannot memorize formulas or weak in math. Thus, such learning gives an advantage only to the students who possess intelligence of logic-mathematic compare to other intelligence.

Other examples are the topics of inertia and force which require students to understand the abstract concept of how an object moves or static. This concept is often associated with students’ daily life experiences in which moving objects require force throughout their motion. However, the first Newtonian Law states that force acts only when the object moves, stops or changes the direction, rather than continuously in motion. Such abstract representations of force and motion can easily be understood by student with visual spatial intelligence but are difficult to understand by others. Thus, teaching with their own dominant intelligence help students to perform better in their study (Gardner, 1983).

Using online learning also allows students to use interactive elements such as multimedia, animations, icons and storytelling such as on Khan Academy website and Phet Simulation for Physics to make learning physics more fun. For example, learning the concept of momentum in online learning can show videos of the actual or animated collision that can be watched, and the sound of the collision that can be heard. This stimulates visual, musical, interpersonal and mathematical intelligence simultaneously.

As discussed above, there is a wide range of intelligence that is stimulated throughout the learning process. Thus, combining various learning materials that can stimulate multiple intelligence of students to help them better understand force and motion topics; and at same time also help teachers to have good science teaching materials (Choi, Lee, & Jung, 2008; Redish, 2003). Hence, this study focuses on the multiple intelligence integrated with online learning through the stimulation of the various student’s dominant intelligence during learning to help students to understand better the topics of force and motion.

**Purpose of the Study**

This study attempted to give answer for the following basic questions:

1. Is there a significant effect of online multiple intelligence-based learning on achievement in physics’ motion concept among 10th grade students?

2. Is there a significant effect of online multiple intelligence-based learning on maintaining achievement in physics’ motion concept among 10th grade students?

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

This study was carried out using a quasi-experiment method of a pre and post-test set of repeated measures on dependent variables (Cambell & Stanley, 1963; Cohen, Manion, & Morrison, 2001). The repeated measures are performed to correct the weaknesses of this
The study’s design where it does not have control group and fail to establish control group balance (Best & Kahn, 2008). The inconsistency of the respondents in the study is that it was extremely difficult to obtain a perfect study sample because each student had different levels of knowledge. This means that all students had to take the same pre and post-test in order to see the impact of the online learning integrated with multiple intelligence approach on their achievement in force and motion topics. Next, the group underwent a post II test to determine the retention of the online learning that approach on their achievement in force and motion physics syllabus format in Malaysian curriculum (MOE, 2012). The test covered 12 sub-topics which was assigned online learning integrated with multiple intelligence integrated multiple intelligence approach. The design of the study used is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Quasi-Experimental Design of a Pre-Post Test Group (Campbell & Stanley, 1963)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>O₁, O₂, O₃</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With:
- X = Online multiple intelligence approach
- O₁ = Pretest
- O₂ = Post test
- O₃ = Post II test

Table 2. Test Specification and the Allocation of Scores for the Force and Motion Topical Test according to the Bloom’s Taxonomy Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Topics</th>
<th>Objective Question</th>
<th>Subjective Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Analyze linear motion</td>
<td>P₁ P₁ Q₁</td>
<td>Q₂ Q₃ Q₄ Q₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Analyze motion graphs</td>
<td>P₁ P₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Understanding Inertia</td>
<td>P₁ 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Analyze Momentum</td>
<td>P₁ 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Understanding the Effect of Power</td>
<td>P₁ 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Analyze Impulses and Impulse Power</td>
<td>P₁ 1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Understanding Safety features of vehicles</td>
<td>P₁ 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Understanding Gravity</td>
<td>P₁ 1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Understanding the Power of Balance</td>
<td>P₁ 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Understanding Work, Energy and Power</td>
<td>P₁ 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Understanding Machine Efficiency</td>
<td>P₁ 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P₁ - Knowledge P₂ - Understanding P₃ - Application P₄ - Analyze P₅ - Synthesis

FINDINGS

To answer the research questions, the descriptive statistics analysis was performed to identify mean values, standard deviations, minimum and maximum values of the pre and post force and motion test for students who followed the online learning integrated with multiple intelligence approach in this study.

To determine whether the data distribution of the study was normal, descriptive statistical methods using skewness cutoff values and kurtosis were based on a range of values between -1 and +1 defined by George and Mallery (2003). The results of the Shapiro-Wilks for Force
and Motion statistical test as shown in Table 3 show a non-significant value (p > 0.05) that represents the normally distributed Force and Motion score distribution.

For further descriptive analysis, comparing mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum for each pre, post-test and post II test as shown in Table 4.

Overall, the ANOVA tests with repeated measurements were performed to determine the main effect of test time on the dependent variable which was Force and Motion Test. The test time was categorized as within variable used to perform ANOVA tests with repeated measurements. Based on the ANOVA test perspective with repeated measure (Hair et al., 2009), a study variable was considered as an internal variable when the variables were measured repeatedly in the same sample. In the context of this study, test time refers to the Force and Motion Test measured repeatedly on the study sample namely before treatment (pre-test), immediately after treatment (post-test) and after a certain period after treatment (post II test).

The purpose of studying the effect of internal variables was the test time on the dependent variables force and motion to determine whether there were significant changes in Force and Motion Test scores based on repeated measures of Force and Motion test scores. The multivariate test results in Table 5 shows that the main effects of force and motion test time was significant, Wilks’ Lambda = 0.150, F (1, 30) = 79.31, p = 0.000, ƞ² = 0.850 and the observation power of 1.000. Multivariate test results are supported by univariate test results for variables as shown in Table 7. However, to select a univariate test, the assumptions of the faults are first checked using the Mauchly test results as shown in Table 6.

The results of the Mauchly flux test show that the p value obtained was 0.675 which is greater than 0.05. Based on the obtained values, this indicates that the assumption of covariance matrix variance assumptions
is obeyed (Howell, 2009). For univariate tests, there was a significant main effect of test time on force and motion scores, $F = 94.71$, $p = 0.00$ and $\eta^2 = 0.76$ and observation power of 1.00.

Multivariate and univariate test results are significant, and this means that there was one test pair that had a mean difference in force and motion scores, which is:

i- mean score of pre-Force and Motion test with a mean score of post test

ii - mean score of pre-Force and Motion test with post II scores

iii. mean score of post Force and Motion test with post II scores

Based on the results of the Bonferroni test in Table 8, there was a significant difference between the mean test pre and post test score and post II test scores. Thus, it can be concluded that the online intelligence approach had a significant impact on the positive force and motion among the study samples from pre-test to advanced post-test.

The findings in Table 9 show that the mean score of post Force and Motion Test was 57.33 and the mean score of post II force and motion was 60.36 with a mean increase from pre-treatment to post II treatment. This finding is supported by the findings in Table 8 which show that there was a significant difference between the mean test pre and post test score and post II test scores. Therefore, it can be concluded that the online intelligence approach had a significant impact on the positive force and motion among the study sample from post-test to post II test.

Next, the analysis was performed to identify the relationship between student intelligence tendencies and force and motion test scores using Friedman’s non-parametric analysis (Piew, 2013) and the results are shown in Table 10.

Wilcoxon test was carried out for each pair of pre-test, post-test and post II test for verbal intelligence as shown in Table 11.

The results of the Wilcoxon Test found that all pairs of force and motion score tests for this verbal intelligence were not significant at the $p <0.05$ level, and overall results based on the Friedman test showed there was no
significant difference between the tests \[x^2 (2, N = 3) = 6.00, p < 0.05\] as shown in Table 10.

Next is the Wilcoxon test results for logic intelligence as shown in Table 12.

The results of the Wilcoxon Test found that only two pairs of force and motion scores for this Mathematical Logic intelligence were significant at the \(p < 0.05\) level although overall results based on the Friedman test showed no significant difference between the tests \[x^2 (2, N = 7) = 8.86, p < 0.05\] as shown in Table 10.

Next is the Wilcoxon test results for Kinesthetic intelligence (See Table 13).

The results of the Wilcoxon Test found that all pairs of force and motion scores for this Kinesthetic intelligence were not significant at the \(p < 0.05\) level, and overall results based on the Friedman test shows there was no significant difference between the tests \[x^2 (2, N = 3) = 8.86, p < 0.05\] as shown in Table 10.

Next is the Wilcoxon test results for Visual intelligence (See Table 14).

The results of the Wilcoxon Test found that all pairs of force and motion scores for Visual intelligence were significant at the \(p < 0.05\) level although overall results based on the Friedman test shows there was no significant difference between the tests \[x^2 (2, N = 3) = 6.00, p < 0.05\] as shown in Table 10.

Next is the Wilcoxon test results for Interpersonal intelligence shown in Table 15.

The results of the Wilcoxon Test found that only two pairs of force and motion scores for the Interpersonal intelligence were significant at the \(p < 0.05\) level although overall results based on the Friedman test shows there was no significant difference between the tests \[x^2 (2, N = 3) = 4.67, p < 0.05\] as shown in Table 10.

Next is the Wilcoxon test for Music intelligence (See Table 14).

The results of the Wilcoxon Test found that all pairs of force and motion scores for Music intelligence were not significant at the \(p < 0.05\) level, and overall results based on the Friedman test showed no significant difference between the tests \[x^2 (2, N = 3) = 4.67, p < 0.05\] as shown in Table 10.

Next is the Wilcoxon test results for the Visual intelligence shown in Table 15.

The results of the Wilcoxon Test found that all pairs of force and motion scores for this Visual intelligence were significant at the \(p < 0.05\) level although overall results based on the Friedman test shows there were significant differences between the tests \[x^2 (2, N = 3) = 6.00, p < 0.05\] as shown in Table 10.

Next is the Wilcoxon test results for Interpersonal intelligence shown in Table 16.

The results of the Wilcoxon Test found that only two pairs of force and motion scores for the Interpersonal intelligence were significant at the \(p < 0.05\) level although overall results based on the Friedman test shows there was no significant difference between the tests \[x^2 (2, N = 3) = 4.67, p < 0.05\] as shown in Table 10.

Next is the Wilcoxon test for Music intelligence (See Table 14).

The results of the Wilcoxon Test found that all pairs of force and motion scores for Music intelligence were not significant at the \(p < 0.05\) level, and overall results based on the Friedman test showed no significant difference between the tests \[x^2 (2, N = 3) = 4.67, p < 0.05\] as shown in Table 10.

Next is the Wilcoxon test results for the Visual intelligence shown in Table 15.

The results of the Wilcoxon Test found that all pairs of force and motion scores for this Visual intelligence were significant at the \(p < 0.05\) level although overall results based on the Friedman test shows there were significant differences between the tests \[x^2 (2, N = 3) = 6.00, p < 0.05\] as shown in Table 10.

Next is the Wilcoxon test results for Interpersonal intelligence shown in Table 16.

The results of the Wilcoxon Test found that only two pairs of force and motion scores for the Interpersonal intelligence were significant at the \(p < 0.05\) level although overall results based on the Friedman test shows there was no significant difference between the tests \[x^2 (2, N = 3) = 4.67, p < 0.05\] as shown in Table 10.
were significant differences between the tests \[x^2 (2, N = 5) = 7.60, p < 0.05\] as shown in Table 10.

Next is the Wilcoxon test results for Intrapersonal intelligence as shown in Table 17.

The results of the Wilcoxon Test found that all pairs of force and motion scores for this Intrapersonal intelligence were significant at the p <0.05 level, and overall results based on the Friedman test showed significant differences between the tests \[x^2 (2, N = 3) = 6.00, p < 0.05\] as shown in Table 10.

Next is the Wilcoxon test result for Naturalist intelligence (See Table 18).

The results of the Wilcoxon Test found that all pairs of force and motion scores for the Naturalist’s intelligence were not significant at the p <0.05 level, as the overall results based on the Friedman test shows there was no significant difference between the tests \[x^2 (2, N = 3) = 6.00, p < 0.05\] as shown in Table 10.

As a summary, Table 19 shows the mean values for each pre, post and post II test for each intelligence indicating that all of the intelligences showed an increase in the achievement of scores either from pre to post-test or from post to post II test.

In summary, the overall results of force and motion score analysis of each intelligence had an increase that reflected the teaching methodology built with integrating the multiple intelligence in this study capable of stimulating all such intelligence. However, Wilcoxon and Friedman’s analysis shows that only the Logic, Interpersonal and Intrapersonal Logic intelligence had significant changes in force and motions scores. This is in line with Gardner’s (1999) assertion that some intelligence can be simultaneously stimulated by assisting technology in student learning and teaching.

**DISCUSSION**

The findings in this study show that the online learning integrating multiple intelligences had a significant impact on the achievement of the force and motion achievement test among 10th grade students. Significant hypothesis test results for mean improvement in pre and post test scores indicates that the impact on the achievement of the force and motion topics had increased after the students followed the
online learning that integrated multiple intelligences built in this study. The results of the non-significant hypothesis post and post II test show that there was a retention of positive effects on the achievement of the force and motion topics after six weeks of intervention. These results indicate that the mean differences in the test effects before and after the intervention were because of the online learning integrating multiple intelligences intervention itself.

In analyzing the relationship between each of the intelligence to force and motion scores, it was found that Mathematical, Interpersonal and Intrapersonal intelligence also had showed significant changes but only for differences between pre and post-tests as well as post and post II test.

In this study, the implementation of the learning method was based on the online learning integrated with multiple intelligences which was developed based on Multiple Intelligence and Constructivism Theories to enhance the achievement of force and motion topics among students. The constructivism theory states that learning is an active learning process in which students use various senses as inputs and build knowledge through the interpretation and processing of information from such inputs (Barr, 2003; Legal, 2014; Trif, 2015).

The online learning integrating multiple intelligences in this study by using online learning had provided methods for students to actively seek, build and process information either through their own learning or by actively engaging with students and teachers. The analysis of the online communication application like WhatsApp show that all students were engaged in discussions and were diligent in providing feedback during their learning. This had reduced students’ passivity, lack of concentration, or even sleeping in the conventional classroom. The results of this study were also in line with the study by Ainley (2012), Harackeiew et al. (2012), Wang and Park (2012), and Greenbow, Robelia and Hughes (2009b) which state that students who were active in online learning could enhance their understanding and achievement of a subject or concept that they were learning.

The constructivism theory states that students build new knowledge through their past experience and existing knowledge (Alexander, 2006) and use their own intelligence to build new experiences and knowledge through practical and hands-on activities (Bachtold, 2013; Harlow et al., 2013; Moford, 2014). The online learning in this study had integrated multiple intelligences method which incorporated Physlet simulation applications for graph-based online apps for motion linear as well as TinkerCad’s design application to design their own aerodynamics cars. Such activities are interactive and make learning more student-centred rather than conventional learning that only uses reference books and doing exercises (Duane & Satre, 2014; Harlow et al., 2013).

The findings in the interviews show that students were eager to use online learning which had integrated multiple intelligences to learn physics subjects at school. One of the students reported that he was looking forward to physics class and he was eager to complete the assignment. This shows that students were active and responsible in their own learning. In addition, knowledge from past experiences can be retained in order to build new knowledge thereafter (Cohen, 2004; Elkin, 2005). This is in line with the findings of the study showing that there was an increase in mean post test scores and mean post II test mean scores although there were not significant. This finding shows that the use of the online learning integrating multiple intelligences in this study was also effective in maintaining the knowledge that was built during the learning process.

The use of online learning integrated with multiple intelligences in this study also had required the students to actively seek out information on the topics which was being studied to build new knowledge. The use of Google search engines and Wiki facilitates students with linguistic verbal intelligence to work on the information they need and also stimulates naturalist intelligence to gather, classify and categorize that information in the learning they love (Gen, 2000). It also stimulates the mathematical logic aspect for the students to learn better when all learning materials can be linked and understood logically. The online learning integrated with multiple intelligences in this study also features virtual digital storage that stimulates the visual intelligence to constantly use it in every project they produce (Armstrong, 2000).

Collaborative and cooperative learning are also encouraged in the learning based in constructivism (Palloff & Pratt, 1999) where knowledge building is made easier when students interact with other students and teachers (Murphy & Cifuentes, 2001). The online learning integrated with multiple intelligences in this study had incorporated these collective and cooperative aspects through project-based learning to work as a team. This is in line with studies that found that students learn better in groups than individuals (Brown & Adler, 2008) and in line with Boss and Krauss’s (2007) study that used similar methods to build knowledge through online learning experiences. Project-based learning enables students to engage in exploration, collaboration, free discussion, sharing ideas and exchanging information with each other (Gray & Xiaoli, 2001) to produce the final product for a given task. In using this project-based learning method, students acknowledge that discussions in the group make learning fun, reduce boredom, enhance ideas, and help students complete assigned tasks.
Through analyzing the usage of the online communication application such as WhatsApp, the students were able to express themselves, exchange information and comment on the final product made by friends from other groups. Observations and feedback from teachers also indicated that students focused on their work while using the online learning integrated with multiple intelligences in this study from early group discussions until final assessment and project presentation. According to Harlen (1999) such learning enables students to be open-minded and critical while enhancing their understanding and achievement in the subject being studied.

The findings of the advanced analysis of force and motion topics mean scores on each intelligence had showed that all multiple intelligence elements increased in force and motion scores; however, only interpersonal and intrapersonal intelligence shows there was significant improvement. Interpersonal intelligence is the ability to interact with and understand others (Brougher, 1997; Gardner, 1983) and the online group discussions conducted make it more attractive, avoiding students from the embarrassment of asking questions and encouraging acceptance (Chin & Osborne, 2008). Quick feedback from teachers and classmates makes the discussion livelier and helps students with intrapersonal intelligence to understand learning materials more easily (Rhodes & Bellamy, 1999). The findings of the online communication using WhatsApp application show that all students were interested and passionate about it. While there were some students who were dominant and frequently gave their views, but others also supported or discussed solutions that was used to complete the project assignments in this project-based learning. This is in line with Lamb’s (2004) study which found that activities involving project performance also stimulated this intelligence.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY FINDINGS

In conclusion, the findings of the online learning integrated with multiple intelligences in this study support the constructivism learning theory that emphasizes the principle of active learning even when conducted online (Gulbhar & Tinnaz, 2006; Sidman-Taveau, 2005; Welsh, 20006). Through online learning that integrated multiple intelligences in this study, the students build knowledge through social interaction (Anderson, 2003) as well as share information collectively to build new knowledge (Atherto, 2005; Ngambi & Lomba, 2012). Communication and collaboration in online assignments and quick feedback in discussions helps students with intrapersonal intelligence to understand learning materials more easily (Rhodes & Bellamy, 1999). Active participation in group work also indirectly always motivates students to constantly seek information. This continuous effort was able to retain students’ knowledge on a long-term or sustainable basis (Colquit & Neo, 2000).

Physics learning often has problems with the lack of interactive learning materials that can be used to facilitate and diversify teaching and learning methods (Greenhow & Robelia, 2009a). The online learning method is a new alternative to the one-way and talk methods in today’s conventional classroom (Ramiah & Mahani, 2002). The online learning integrated with multiple intelligence method built in this study utilized a variety of online applications and activities enabling students to generate knowledge and improve the achievement of physics subjects in force and motion topics. The implications of this study suggest that the use of online learning integrated with multiple intelligences had the potential to positively impact learning and enhance student achievement in the force and motion topics. The activities in the online learning integrating multiple intelligences were more student-centred and met their needs in the use of technology and this enables them to learn more and actively involved in their learning (Lou et al., 2010). Furthermore, the online learning integrated with multiple intelligence in physics classes had the potential to provide an interactive learning environment that enabled students to learn self-sufficiency, engage in a variety of activities and explore greater and better learning materials. The teachers are no longer the content experts but rather as facilitators who provide guidance to students (Senocak, 2007).

In conclusion, the findings from this study also found that the online learning integrating multiple intelligences method was able to stimulate intelligence and improve problem solving skills through assigned project tasks to make it more relevant to the real-life context of students, thus, was able to attract students, enhance their skills and personal knowledge and ultimately improve student achievement.
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